



USING URBAN THEMATIC ACCOUNTING FOR SDG REPORTING — EXAMPLE OF WASTE SDG 11.6.1

Nao Takeuchi Urban Basic Services Section UN-Habitat





IMPLEMENTING THE NEW URBAN AGENDA

2 billion w/o waste collection 3 billion w/o access to controlled waste disposal Business-asusual: 8 – 10 % of global GHG emissions



IMPLEMENTING THE NEW URBAN AGENDA





WASTE SDG INDICATORS



IMPLEMENTING THE NEW URBAN AGENDA

| Targets | 5 | Indicator | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 11.6 | By 2030, reduce the adverse per capita environmental impact of cities, including by paying special attention to air quality and municipal and other waste management. | Proportion of municipal solid waste collected and mana controlled facilities with regards to the total waste ger by the city | | |
| Goal 1 | 2: Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns | | | |
| Targets | | Indicator | | |
| 12.3 By 2030, halve per capita global food waste at the retail and consumer levels and reduce food losses along production and supply chains, including post-harvest losses. | | Food loss Index Food Waste Index | | |
| 12.4 By 2030, achieve the environmentally sound management of chemicals and all wastes throughout their life cycle, in accordance with agreed international frameworks, and significantly reduce their release to air, water and soil in order to minimize their adverse impacts on human health and the environment. | | Hazardous waste generated per capita and proportion of hazardous waste treated, by type of treatment | | |
| 12.5 | By 2030, substantially reduce waste generation through prevention, reduction, recycling and reuse. | National recycling rate, tons of material recycled | | |
| Goal 1 | <i>4:</i> Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resource | es for sustainable development | | |
| Targets | 5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Indicator | | |
| 14.1 | Index of coastal eutrophication and floating plastic debris density | Tier 3. awaiting the development of internates established methodology and standards | | |



WHAT WASTE WISE CITIES TOOL (WACT) MEASURES?

IMPLEMENTING

THE NEW URBAN AGENDA

% of MSW collected and managed in controlled facilities out of total MSW generated by the city





Municipal Solid Waste Flow in Nairobi



is leaking to wat

IMPLEMENTING

NAIROBI LOCAL WASTE STAKEHOLDER WORKSHOP



IMPLEMENTING THE NEW URBAN AGENDA

Identify key intervention areas with stakeholders





DATA TO ACTION



IMPLEMENTING THE NEW URBAN AGENDA





Nairobi City County Sustainable Waste Management Action Plan 2020 -2022





IMPLEMENTING THE NEW URBAN AGENDA





STEP 2: HOUSEHOLD MSW GENERATION AND COMPOSITION



IMPLEMENTING THE NEW URBAN AGENDA

- •Waste sampling from 10 household from 3 survey areas per income groups (high, middle and low income groups)
- •To obtain representative sample, 7 days' sample from each household to be collected
- •Collected samples weighed to obtain average waste generation per capita
- •After weighing, waste composition is measured for each income groups

Day 1







Discard!

Because ppl put accumulated waste in the bag making the sample not representative

09 November, 2020 UNITED NATIONS HUMAN SETTLEMENTS PROGRAMME (UN-HABITAT)

FOR A BETTER URBAN FUTURE

WASTE CHARACTERIZATION INTO 12 TYPES



IMPLEMENTING THE NEW URBAN AGENDA

| Categories | Examples |
|------------------------------|---|
| Kitchen / Canteen Waste | Bread, coffee grinds, cooked or uncooked food items, food leftovers, fruit and vegetables, meat and fish, pet foods, tea bags |
| Garden / Park Waste | Flowers; Fruit and vegetable garden waste; Grass Cuttings; Hedge trimmings; Leaves; Pruning; Tree branches; Weeds |
| Wood | Bottle corks, Cork packaging, Untreated Pallet, Solid timber and timber fragments, Wood from DIY, Wood furniture or toys |
| Paper / Cardboard | Brochures, magazines, newspapers, cereal packets, Cleaning product cartons, Cards, Books, Tissue Paper, Writing papers |
| Plastic Film | Biscuit wrappers, Cling film, Compost/peat bags; Crisp packets; Frozen food bags; Cellotape; Shopping Bags, Tarpalins |
| Plastic Dense | All plastic bottles/jars, Plastic bottles, Food packing trays, Roll on deodorant bottles, Bottle tops, Toothpastes, Plastic toys |
| Glass | Alcoholic and non-alcoholic drinks bottles, Food jars, Medicine bottles, Cookware, Flat glass, Light bulbs, Mixed broken glass |
| Textiles | Trousers; Skirts; Socks; Stockings; Tights; Balls of wool; Blankets; Braids; Carpets; Ropes; Rugs; Sheets; Threads; Towels |
| Metals | Biscuit containers; Packaging for carbonated drinks, Fish, Pet food etc; Aluminium foil sheets; Shoe polish cans; Soft drinks; |
| Hazardous Household Waste | Batteries/Accumulators; |
| Complex Products | Composite/Complex Packaging such as Aluminium Foil-coated card, liquid containers e.g. milk; fruit juice; Appliance parts |
| Other | Boulders; Bricks; Gravel; Pebbles; Sand; Soil; Stones; Ceramics; Clay plant pots; Crockery; Stone/ceramic floor and wall tiles; Children's disposable nappies; Ashes; Sand; Small fragments <10mm of all above categories |





EXAMPLE OF NAIROBI — SURVEY RESULTS OF STEP 2



IMPLEMENTING THE NEW URBAN AGENDA

| Income level | MS W Generation | Population | Population rate | MS W Generation | Туреѕ | Recyclable waste generation from HH (t/day) |
|---------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|
| | per capita | | | from each | Food waste | 1,259 |
| | (kg/capita/day) | | | income level | Plastic film | 96 |
| | | | | (t/day) | Plastic dense | 176 |
| High Income | 0.62 | 607,174 | 13% | 378 | Paper and cardboard | 198 |
| Medium Income | 0.89 | 1,629,660 | 35% | 1,450 | Glass | 90 |
| Low Income | 0.19 | 2,408,719 | 52% | 462 | Total | 1,820 |
| Total | N/A | 4,645,553 | 100% | 2,290 | | |









STEP 3: NON-HOUSEHOLD MSW GENERATION



URBAN AGENDA

THE NEW







preparation phase (STEP 1)

 Arrange visits and interviews with identified recovery facilities (Annex 5 of WaCT Step by Step Guide)

• Identify recovery facilities during the

- Evaluate the level of control of recovery facilities based on the ladder environmental level of control (ladder provided in to WaCT Step by Step Guide)
- Compile the collected information (in provided file)

STEP 4: MSW RECEIVED BY RECOVERY FACILITIES & LEVEL OF CONTROL

THE NEW URBAN AGENDA







IMPORTANT ASPECTS TO UNDERSTAND WASTE RECOVERY CHAIN



IMPLEMENTING THE NEW URBAN AGENDA



FOR A BETTER URBAN FUTURE

STEP 4: LADDER OF CONTROL LEVEL — RECOVERY FACILITIES



IMPLEMENTING THE NEW URBAN AGENDA

| CONTROL LEVEL | | Incineration with energy recovery | Other recovery facilities |
|------------------|-----|---|---|
| Full Control | | Built to and operating in compliance with current national laws and standards | Built to and and operating in compliance with current national laws and standards |
| | | including stringent stack and GHG emission criteria | Pollution control compliant to environmental standards |
| | | Emission controls are conducted compliant to environmental standards and | Protection of workers' health and safety |
| | | results of tests are accessible and transparent to citizens/users | The nutrient value of biologically treated materials utilized for separate organic |
| | | Fly ash managed as a hazardous waste using the best appropriate technology | waste (e.g. in agriculture/horticulture) |
| | | Weighing and recording conducted | Materials are extracted, processed according to market specifications, and sold to |
| | | A strong and robust environmental regulator inspects and monitors emissions | recycling markets |
| | | Protection of workers' health and safety | Weighing and recording of incoming loads conducted |
| | | | All outgoing loads registered by weight and type of destination |
| Improved | N/A | | Engineered facilities with effective process control |
| Control | | | Pollution control compliant to environmental standards |
| | | | Protection of workers' health and safety |
| | | | Evidence of materials extracted being delivered into recycling or recovery markets. |
| | | | Weighing and recording of incoming and outgoing loads conducted |
| Basic Control | | Emission controls to capture particulates | Registered facilities with marked boundaries |
| | | Trained staff follow set operating procedures | Some environmental pollution control |
| | | Equipment maintained | Provisions made for workers' health and safety |
| | | Ash management carried out | Weighing and recording of incoming and outgoing loads conducted |
| | | Weighing and recording conducted | |
| Limited Control | N/A | | Unregistered facilities with distinguishable boundaries |
| | | | No environmental pollution control |
| | | | No provisions made for workers' health and safety |
| | | | Weighing and recording conducted |
| No Control | | Uncontrolled burning | Unregistered locations with no distinguishable boundaries |
| | | No air/water pollution control | No provisions made for workers' health and safety |
| | | | No environmental pollution control |



STEP 5: MSW RECEIVED BY DISPOSAL FACILITIES AND LEVEL OF CONTROL



IMPLEMENTING THE NEW URBAN AGENDA

•Identify disposal facilities

- •Arrange visits and interviews with identified disposal facilities
 - Obtain records of waste received (weigh bridge record or counting the number of truck)
 - Interview waste pickers (average daily amount of recyclables recovered by one waste picker and the approximate number of waste pickers in the disposal site)

•Evaluate the level of control of disposal facilities

•Compile the collected information (in provided file)





IF THE SITE DOESN'T HAVE A WEIGHBRIDGE....

Count the number of trucks! And get the average density of the waste!







IMPLEMENTING

THE NEW URBAN AGENDA

STEP 5: LADDER OF CONTROL LEVEL — DISPOSAL FACILITIES



THE NEW URBAN AGENDA

| CONTRO L LEVEL | Disposal Facilities | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Full | | Waste daily covered | | Landfill gas collection and flaring and/or utilization | |
| Control | | Waste compacted | | Site staffed; | |
| | | Site fenced and full 24-hour control of access | | Post closure plan | |
| | | Properly sited, designed and functional sanitary landfill | | Weighing and recording conducted | |
| | | Leachate containment and treatment (naturally consolidated clay on the | | Protection of workers' health and safety | |
| | | site or constructed liner) | | | |
| Improved | | Waste periodically covered | | Landfill gas collection (depending on landfill technology) | |
| Control | | Waste compacted | | Site staffed | |
| | | Site fenced and control of access | | Weighing and recording conducted | |
| | | Leachate containment and treatment | | Protection of workers' health and safety | |
| Basic | | Some use of cover | | Site staffed | |
| Control | | Waste compacted | | Weighing and recording conducted | |
| | | Sufficient equipment for compaction | | The slope of the landfill is stable, landslides not possible | |
| | | Site fenced and control of access | | Protection of workers' health and safety | |
| | | No fire/smoke existence | | | |
| Limited | | No cover | | Some fire/smoke existence | |
| Control | | Some compaction | | Site staffed | |
| | | Some equipment for compaction | | Weighing and recording conducted | |
| | | Some level of access control/fencing | | The slope of the landfill is unstable with high possibility of a landslide | |
| | | No leachate control | | | |
| No Control | | No cover | | No leachate control | |
| | | No compaction | | Fire/smoke existence | |
| | | No/ limited equipment | | No staff | |
| | | No fencing | | The slope of the landfill is unstable with high possibility of a landslide | |



STEP 7: CALCULATING FOOD WASTE, RECYCLING, AND PLASTIC LEAKAGE



 $SDG 12.3.1.b = \frac{\text{Total MSW generated (t/day) x proportion of food waste (%)}}{Total population}$

 $= \sum$ Amount of recycled products sold by each of the recovery facilities

- 1) Quantifying plastic leakage from every stage of the MSWM system
- 2) Determining the fates of each flow in the environment (i.e. retained on land, water systems, storm drains or burnt).

https://plasticpollution.leeds.ac.uk/projects/







x 100 (%)



Any question?

UN HABITAT FOR A BETTER URBAN FUTURE

www.unhabitat.org