

System of Environmental Economic Accounting

Workshop objectives and overview of virtual training sessions

Jessica Ying Chan Statistician, Environmental-Economic Accounts Section **United Nations Statistics Division**





Workshop objectives

- Support compilation of land accounts for the Philippines for 2015 2020
 - > Review conceptual basis for land and ecosystem accounting
 - particularly moving forward
 - > QGIS: Importance of account-ready data
 - > ARIES for SEEA
 - Integrating currently available data
 - Semantic annotation
 - Future modelling possibilities
- Establish a foundation for the compilation of ecosystem extent accounts
 - > Technically (i.e. ARIES for SEEA)
 - > Practically (available data sources)



> Understand how GIS tools and ARIES for SEEA can be used for production of the accounts,

Work done thus far – setting context for virtual training

- Comparison of 2010 and 2015
 - > Illogical transitions detected
 - > Raw data and methodology used for maps differed
 - 2010: local data, 10 mt resolution
 - 2015: LandSat data, 30 mt resolution
 - "appear" in 2015 in previously urban areas)
 - > Suggest not to directly compare
- Focus on 2015 and 2020



- 2010 map's built-up areas were generalized (i.e. other land cover types seen to suddenly

- Focus on CAR region (inland) for 2010 and 2015
- Designed to cover steps needed to create land accounts
- Many preparatory steps to ensure that data is "account-ready"
- Step 1: Preliminary data correction
 - > Three areas to check
 - Geometry errors, overlaps and gaps
 - Geometry errors: Invalid polygons (e.g. self-intersecting polygons)
 - Overlap issues: causes issues when vector is converted to raster. Which land cover class is assigned to a pixel?
 - Gaps: Converting from vector to raster can result in pixels with NoData values







- Step 2: Creating land cover change accounts
 - > Reading in data
 - Add boundary data
 - Select appropriate polygons within boundary
 - Dissolve
 - Added LC data
 - Ensure common projection, clip data to the boundar
 - Rasterize data (requirement to read into ARIES)
 - Create overlay of land cover change maps
 - Create accounts
 - 4 to class 2)
 - Use of semi-automatic classification plugin (SCP) (homework)





Manually, using QGIS functions (raster calculator—e.g. 4002, change from LC class

- Step 3: Examining the land cover change matrix
 - > Important quality assurance step: check for "illogical" changes
 - > Why do we need to take a spatial point of view, and not just a statistical one?
 - Land cover change matrix displays NET changes
 - > Prioritize those polygons that show improbable transitions by focusing on those with the largest maximum area
 - Use landcover change raster (2010 and 2015)
 - Polygonize the raster (each polygon provided with a raster of a specific LC change type)
 - Calculate area of each polygon
 - Create table with maximum area of polygons having a particular transition
- Step 4: Further investigate problematic polygons and correct as needed (not completed) > Can methodology or metadata explain anything?

 - > Ecological experts, regional offices
 - > Ground truthing



- Step 5: ARIES for SEEA
 - > Integrate account-ready data using the k.modeler
 - annotate data
 - Web-based explorer only uses global data
 - We are using the data locally, but also possible to use data through geoserver
 - Rasterized maps
 - Need projection that uses Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) standards
 - > Defining context (space, i.e. shape file; resolution/grid; projection; time)
 - > Semantic annotation

 - https://bitbucket.org/integratedmodelling/im/src/master/src/landcover.kim



k.modeler allows to you to integrate local data, access ARIES network, develop models,

Creating the crosswalk of national classification used, with ARIES land cover ontology (based on ESA CCI, Corrine land cover and National Land Cover Database classes)

- Step 5: ARIES for SEEA
 - > Semantic annotation
 - on ESA CCI and Corrine land cover classes)

https://bitbucket.org/integratedmodelling "

Table	1.	Land	Cover	Categories	Definition	based	on	DENR	Memorandum	Circular
		2005	-005							

LAND COVER CATEGORIES	DEFINITION
1. Closed Forest	Formations where trees in the various storeys and the undergrowth cover a high proportion (>40 percent) of the ground and do not have a continuous dense grass layer (cf. The following definition). They are either managed or unmanaged forests, primary or in advanced state of reconstitution and may have been logged-over one or more times, having kept their characteristics of forest sands, possibly with modified structure and composition.
2. Open Forest	Formations with discontinuous tree layer with a coverage of at least 10 percent and less than 40 percent. They are either managed or unmanaged forests, in initial state of succession.



Creating the crosswalk of national classification used, with ARIES land cover ontology (based



- Step 5: ARIES for SEEA
 - > Run the model
 - Drag and drop the context into the Explorer





Table 1. Selected land cover types

A	Artificial surface	Bare area 🧠	Closed mixed forest 🗠	Grassland -	Inland swamp	Inland water body	Non irrigated arable land herbaceous	Open mixed forest	Permanent cropland	Shrubland -	Water body	Wetland -	Unaco
Opening area 2010	198.84	1251.05	4240.22	17934,73	1480.71	396.81	13409.72	73.25	9034,48	16617.99	901.21	17.48	103.29
Expansions	62.70	1279.44	2753.74	4000.90	813.18	262.90	4347.72	30.65	1867.77	4100.38	535.56	8.96	23.68
Regressions	54.92	823.65	1907.00	3006.26	606.60	217.43	3737.68	31.06	1843.05	7310.64	487.60	4.00	57.69
Net change	7.78	455.79	846.74	994.64	206.58	45.47	610.04	-0.41	24.72	-3210.25	47.96	4.95	-34.01
Closing area at start of 2016	206.62	1706.84	5086.96	18929.36	1687.30	442.27	14019.76	72.83	9059.20	13407.73	949.18	22.43	69.29
4													

Table 2. Selected land cover types

*	Artificial surface 🤝	Bare area 🗠	Closed mixed forest	Grassland +	Inland swamp -	Inland water body ~	Non irrigated arable land herbaceous	Open mixed forest 🖷	Permanent cropland	Shrubland -	Water body 🖷	Wetland -
Artificial surface	143.92	1.17	0.27	23.15	9.51	0.45	0.00	10.17	0.00	1.61	6.10	1.60
Bare area	3.31	427.39	34.23	364.81	\$2.78	5.81	56.37	2.58	5.55	285.13	11.29	0.18
Closed mixed forest	1.34	65.82	2333.22	691.61	23.45	21.45	150.42	0.62	33.78	886.56	28.15	0.18
Grassland	37.10	455.28	272.43	14928.47	\$67.94	63.88	171.63	5.08	8.82	1218.27	200.14	3.99
Inland swamp	8.52	116.84	13.04	393.60	874.12	4.02	6.17	1.25	0.18	48.58	12.09	0.18
Inland water body	0.71	5.38	44.95	66.54	4.55	179.38	5.28	0.62	0.89	26.36	56.72	0.89
Non irrigated arable land herbaceous	0.00	94.15	265.04	318.94	26.75	10.99	9672.05	1.16	1665.45	1290.63	63.06	0.00
Open mixed forest	2.76	3.76	0.35	6.32	0.72	0.53	0.80	42.18	0.27	9.86	3.65	1.42
Permanent cropland	0.00	56.85	53.10	43.17	2.76	8.04	1407.80	1.07	7191.43	238.79	28.90	0.00
Shrubland	2.60	459.95	2024.04	1917.33	107.38	31.08	2507.25	2.22	129.56	9307.35	123.52	0.44
Water body	4.84	15.34	39.65	167.88	11.54	101.88	39.40	3.74	21.03	82.21	413.62	0.09
Wetland	0.27	0.09	0.00	1.78	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.54	0.00	0.62	0.54	13.48
Unaccounted	1.25	4.83	6.64	5.78	5.72	14.67	2.60	1.61	2.23	11.74	0.63	0.00
4												,

10 ±

Ō ±

Training workshop

- Small group -> please feel free to ask questions
- At the end of the training, have some results
- Next steps? -> follow up on illogical changes with relevant experts as needed
- Replicate with other regions to compile national level accounts

Your inputs?

- What are your expectations for the workshop?
- we do to help to ensure that this exercise is carried forward sustainably?



• We would like to hear from you: What is the best way to collaborate going forward? What can