

# Assessing freshwater ecosystem condition in Canada

Statistique

Canada

Statistics Canada

## www.statcan.gc.ca www.un.org/desa

Mark Henry, Senior research analyst Land, water and ecosystem accounts Environment program Statistics Canada

UNSD workshop, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil November 21-23, 2018





### **Table of contents**

- 1. What are SEEA EEA guidance documents saying?
- 2. Current Canadian water quality indicators

Environment and Climate Change Canada

- a) Canadian environmental sustainability indicators water quality indicator
- b) risk based basin assessment

World Wildlife Fund

- c) rivers at risk
- 3. Measuring change in freshwater ecosystems at Statistics Canada
  - a) freshwater condition account research
  - b) SDG 6.6
- 4. Challenges and gaps



#### 1) What are SEEA – EEA www.statcan.ac.ca guidance documents saying? Recommendations for compiling condition accounts

#### What do condition accounts measure?

Indicators in the ecosystem condition account reflect the general condition or state of an ecosystem and the relevant trends in that condition:

- These indicators may reflect such aspects as the occurrence of species, soil characteristics, water quality and ecological processes (e.g. net primary production).
- The indicators selected should be relevant for policy and decision making and reflect policy priorities (e.g. preservation of native habitat); pressures on ecosystems (e.g. deposition levels of acidifying compounds versus critical loads for such compounds) or the capacity of ecosystems to generate one or more services (e.g. attractiveness of the ecosystem for tourism).
- Different ecosystem types require different indicators. For example, condition indicators relevant for forests will be less relevant for cropland.

#### **Ecosystem condition accounts**

This example shows a basic condition account compiled in physical terms using a variety of indicators for selected characteristics. The accounting structure provides a basis for organizing relevant indicators by ecosystem types and for distinct points in time (opening and closing of the accounting period).

Explore the highlighted areas to get more information!

Some more recommendations and steps for the measurement of condition are listed below. In each of these steps, the indicator's scientific validity, the ease of communication and the availability of data should be considered:

- Select the measurement approach (minimum, partial or fully spatial) and select a specific ET for initial focus.
- Select condition indicators that represent the main ecological characteristics of the ETs, and consider whether indicators reflecting ecosystem integrity indicators of pressures on ecosystems should be included.
- Choose an appropriate reference period for the condition measure, or alternatively use the 'opening stock'
- Record and report on the variability and sources of error in the data.

#### Issues for further research

At this stage in the development of ecosystem accounting, most importantly a clearer direction is needed on the extent to which the characteristics used for the measurement of condition go beyond ecological characteristics and also incorporate non-ecological characteristics, for example indicators of environmental pressures. But there are more areas of research:

		Proxy ecosystem type (based on land cover)															
			Artificial surfaces	Herbaceous crops	Woody crops	Multiple or layered crops	Grassland	Tree-covered areas	Mangroves	Shrub-covered areas	Regularly flooded areas	Sparse natural vegetated areas	Terrestrial barren land	Permanent snow and glaciers	Inland water bodies	Coastal water and inter-tidal areas	
xample indicators of condition			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	1
egetation (e.g. native cover)	Ope	The rows ma	av re	flect	a ni	ımb	ero	of in	dica	ator	s or						
	Clo	agarogation	c of i	indi	ato	ro f		ndi	vid		200		tom				
Vater quality (e.g. turbidity, pH)	0-	aggregations of mulcators for mulvidual ecosystem															
all (a g aracian pH putriants)		characteris	tics	. ⊢or	exa	mpl	le, a	iccc	unt	ing	for s	SOIL C	on-				
bii (e.g. erosion, pri, nutrients)	6	dition may require information on texture, nutrients, pH,															
arbon (e.g. net primary productivity)	Opt	organic matter content and other factors, while for															
and an interpretation of production (4)	Clo	inland water bodies the characteristic of water quality															
iodiversity (e.g. species richness)	Ope	indicators of pH turbidity and overage content levels															
	Clo	indicators of	P11,		ulty	anu	0.	yyei				veis	_				
abitats (e.g. fragmentation)	Opt	may be com	enia	a. N	ote t	nat	con	aitic	on a	cco	unts	ma	У .	~			
(	Clo	also include	indic	ator	s ret	flect	ting	hun	nan	pre	ssu	res a	and				
		non-ecologi	cal fa	actor	S.								~	/			
verall index of condition	Ор										_	_					
	Clos	ing condition			_	_	_										





#### 2 a) Environment and Climate Change Canada's water quality indicator

Canadian environmental sustainability indicators

- The water quality index calculation considers 3 factors to summarize water quality at a site (Eq. 1):
  - scope (F1) is the percentage of parameters for which the water quality guidelines are not met.
  - frequency (F2) is the percentage of samples for which the water quality guidelines are not met.
  - amplitude (F3) refers to the amount by which the water quality guidelines are not met.
  - score is normalized to yield a score between 1 and 100.



# 2 a) Environment and Climate Change Canada's water quality indicator









# 2 b) Environment and climate change Canada's risk-based basin analysis (RBBA) indicator

- RBBA is a spatial analysis tool used to quantify the relative risk to water quality in Canada's 1,138 sub-sub-drainage areas (SSDA's).
- RBBA tool aggregates stress from 16 human activities and classifies basins on a relative risk scale.
- challenges in terms of appropriate weighting of each variable default to equal weights.
- useful for discussing in the context of SDG 6.6



# 2 b) Environment and Climate Change Canada's risk-based basin assessment indicator





The map of Canada showing the sub-sub-drainage areas classified according to their risk factors that can threaten the water quality. The main risk factors are the presence of road networks, pipelines, urban discharges and contaminants, dams and agriculture. The sub-sub-drainage areas associated with the highest risk are concentrated in the Prairies, the Great Lakes, the St. Lawrence River and the Maritimes.

## 2 c) World Wildlife Fund; rivers at risk indicator

www.un.org/desa





www.statcan.gc.ca www.un.org/desa

## 3) Measuring change in freshwater ecosystems at Statistics Canada (STC)

Statistics

Canada

Statistique

- STC has used a combination of variables to measure change in its publications (*Human Activity and the Environment* and data tables)
- STC uses direct ecosystem measures such as turbidity, water quality indicator data, water yield trends and water withdrawals
- STC uses drivers of change or contextual variables such as land cover and land use change, soil nutrient residuals, temperature change and linear density to assist in interpreting change
- compiling time series data has often been challenging and reference periods are determined by what data is available



www.statcan.gc.ca www.un.org/desa

# 3) Freshwater ecosystem condition account; targeting change

### What do we need to measure? Influences?

1. Emissions

Statistique

Canada

Statistics

- land use management; nutrients from agriculture, turbidity and risk to water indicator
- pollution; National pollution release inventory
- 2. Land use and cover change
  - fragmentation
  - land conversion
- 3. Climate change
- 4. Intake, use and abstraction
- 5. Invasive species









### Long-term trends of temperature change (°C) for 1948-2016



### 3 a) Building a condition account

Prince Edward Island

Cape Breton Island

Northwestern Lake Superior

Scotia

Bay of Fundy and Gulf of St. Lawrence, Nova

Southeastern Atlantic Ocean, Nova Scotia

01C

01D

01E

01F

02A

5,943

21,499

23,222

10,685

51,541

0.67

0.75

0.75

0.75

0.53

16.8

2.6

0.5

0.3

0.1

818

353 38 42

11

215

93

10

11

3

2.3

19.9

39.8

38.0

104.2

229

26

North Shore-Gaspé

Saint John-St. Croix

Newfoundland-Labrador

Newfoundland (Island)6

Maritime Coastal

22

23

24

25

369,095

41,903

122,057

380,361

111,186

36,933

1,716

6,495

54,893

14,235

332,162

40,187

115,562

325,468

96,952

1,599

1,274

4,215

1,486

1,240

2,372

1,340

3,922

87

86

48 365,076

162

441

40 378,749

40 109,819

39,128

113,480

700

610

51

51

2,007

14

3

40

0

0

39,638,603

33,250,648

99,884,596

33.856.985

29,637,886

Human Activity and the Environment 2016: Freshwater in Canada

2011

m/km

169.7

141.6

379.1

737.8

351.0

41.3 164.5

18.5

1.6

586.1

831.9

821.8

997.3

226.9 238.6

70.7

0.5 42.9

24.9

898.8

644.9

1.093.9

119.3

827.4

864.3

104.0

305.7

#### Table A.3

Selected indicators of pressures on water quality by drainage region

	indirect	t mea	sures	of con	dition	2		9			(	Residual s nitrogen agricultu lar 264	Residu nitrog agricu norma bil acros on total a ral the dra d, re 1	al soil len on lltural land, alized ss the rea of inage egion, 2011 <sup>1</sup>	Risk of phosphorus release from agricultural soils, 2011 <sup>1</sup>	Risk of phosphorus release from agricultural sols, normalized across the total area of the drainage region, 2011	National Pollutant Release Nyentory, 2014 <sup>2</sup>	Phosphorus emissions, National Pollutant Release Inventory, 2014 <sup>2</sup>	Media month maximu turbidid 201
									Canada		code	kg/	ha k	g/km <sup>2</sup>	mg/kg	mg/kg	to		turbidity uni
	direct m	neasu	ires of	condi	tion (w	ith time	series)		Pacific Coastal Fraser–Lower Mainland Okanagan–Similkameen Columbia		1 2 3 4	22 22 20 20	2.0 3.2 1.3 4	6.8 105.5 184.5 30.2	1.7 2.9 3.3 2.6 2.5	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	10,390 26,489 201 2468	40,443 493 34,660 0 1,373	0. 1. 1. 0
	anootn	10000		contai	lion (w		Series)		Yukon Peace-Athabasca Lower Mackenzie Arctic Coast-Islands		, 5 6 7 8	25	.4 .8	215.1 0.1	1.6 1.5	0.0	0 155,828 22,559 7,607	1,370 0 133 0 0	5. 4.
Human Activity and the Environment									Missouri North Saskatchewan		9 10	11 20	.1 0.0 1,	922.5 314.5	1.6 1.5	1.1 0.6	16,289 127,820	0 82 331	9.
Table 0. sectioned									Assiniboine–Red Winnipeg Lower Saskatchewan–Nelson		12 13 14	24 31 29	.7 1, .6 .4	822.4 30.3 337.0	1.3 1.8 1.3	0.7 0.0 0.0	30,260 1,703 1,427	524 47 10	0. 7. 2. 20
Variables of demand for wetland services, indicators of demand for multiple services, southern Ganada, 2011							Churchill Keewatin–Southern Baffin Island Northern Ontario		15 16 17	28	3.0 	94.9  1.6	1.6  2.9	0.0  0.0	12,724 1,327 6,543	0 0 19	4.		
	Sub-drainage area code	Area	Population	Indicators Population density	of demand f Land in agriculture	or multiple se Livestock density	Average Average natural land parcel size	Average distance to natural land	Northern Quebec Great Lakes Table A.1 Selected land cover and I	land u	18 19 se statistic	25 37 cs by drain	.0 1.5 nage regi	1.1 405.6 on. 201	3.5 1.8 11	0.0 0.0	5,169 106.099	13 781	5.
	obos	square	porcops	persons per square	percent	animals per square	square	motros							Natur	Natural and al semi-			
Southern coastal waters, British Columbia Vancouver Island Nechako	08G 08H 08J	41,986 34,882 47,332	687,662 737,398 61,488	16.4 21.1 1.3	01 area 0.3 1.4 4 4	13 20 1	183.3 93.7 248.0	2 4 2			Total area <sup>1</sup>	Water area <sup>1</sup>	Bui Land a area <sup>1</sup> 2	lt-up area, 2011 <sup>2</sup>	Arable land for land, pastur 2011 <sup>3</sup> 201	or natural l e, area, 1 <sup>3</sup> 2011 <sup>4</sup>	ertilized Irrig area, a 2011 <sup>3</sup> 2	ated area, Ba 2011 <sup>3</sup>	Barri rriers, densi 2011 <sup>5</sup> 201
Upper Fraser Thompson Lower Fraser Columbia Ouean Charlotte Islands	08K 08L 08M 08N	67,088 55,777 61,880 102,925	73,650 185,393 2,018,645 488,653	1.1 3.3 32.6 4.7	3.0 10.1 5.4 3.1	1 25 281 7	734.5 381.0 80.6 390.6	1 2 10 2	Canada Pacific Coastal Fraser–Lower Mainland	 1 2	9,978,923 1, 334,455 233,104	169,561 8,8 14,219 3 8,937 2	09,362 59 20,236 1 24,167 2	9,351 42 1,547 2,481	xm² 28,953 146,77 493 63 3,294 7,78	5 9,343,844 5 331,781 6 219,544	249,056 7 183 1,224	7,665 1,494,91 79 45,33 725 84,98	9,813 169 32,602 141 32,300 375
Kagit Missouri	08P 11A	1,027 27,097	140 8,701	0.4 0.1 0.3	0.3 84.9	0	1,021.5 3.4	0 366	Okanagan–Similkameen Columbia Yukon Peace–Athabasca	3 4 5 6	15,603 87,323 332,906 485 145	585 2,348 9,540 16,725	15,018 84,975 23,366 68 420 2	432 666 340 774	342 1,06 403 89 0 30 241 11 01	2 13,766 8 85,356 0 332,566 8 441 112	150 148 0 15 065	182 11,08 117 29,82 0 13,34 13 77,07	0,603 737 27,848 351 14,637 41 76 176 164
Table 3 Variables of demand for wetland ser	vices, indica	tors of de	emand for	individual	services,	southern	Canada, 2	011	Lower Mackenzie Arctic Coast-Islands Missouri	7 8 9	1,330,490 1,764,280 27,096	177,000 1,1 175,804 1,5 915	53,490 88,476 26,181	619 52 313 1	33 3 0 11,264 11,13	2 1,329,806 0 1,764,228 9 4,380	2 0 3,931	0 21,37 0 2,59 144 15,34	'4,471 18 )2,429 1 43,911 586
	Sub-drainage area code	Area <sup>1</sup>	Streamflow regulation Elow	Land area	Ater quality regulation	Phosphorous	Recreation education	onal and onal use Average	North Saskatchewan South Saskatchewan Assiniboine–Red Winnipeg	10 11 12 13	150,151 177,623 190,704 107.655	7,242 1 6,219 1 8,846 1 20,525	42,909 4 71,404 4 81,858 5 87,130	4,608 / 4,866 8 5,987 11 914	74,498 23,74 89,676 48,35 18,065 21,50 787 34	0 47,305 0 34,732 15 45,146 19 105,605	44,992 51,958 5 74,969 316	94 118,88 5,236 140,85 266 181,36 1 19,76	11,300 831 38,590 821 30,534 997 56,658 220
			variability <sup>2</sup>	fertilized	in manure from livestock	in manure from livestock	natural land parcel size	distance to natural land parcel	Lower Saskatchewan–Nelson Churchill Keewatin–Southern Baffin Island	14 15 16	360,887 313,568 939,569	67,617 2 51,918 2 161,011 7	93,270 2 61,650 78,558	2,290 3 763 25	30,894 9,90 5,786 4,75 0	1 317,802 3 302,267 0 939,544	18,752 1,844 0	117 69,97 5 18,49 0 38	'4,401 238 )2,635 70 35,997 (
	code	square kilometres	CV of flow in major river	percent of area	kilogran square ki	ns per ilometre	square kilometres	metres	Northern Ontario Northern Quebec Great Lakes	17 18 19	691,809 940,193 317,860	56,064 6 149,081 7 111,577 2	35,745 91,112 06,283 10	878 749 ),998 3	485 13 214 1 32,846 2,60	4 690,311 5 939,215 6 271,411	88 21 20,631	0 27,26 0 19,67 397 185,41	8,966 42 79,873 24 10,480 898
Saint John and Southern Bay of Fundy Gulf of St. Lawrence and Northern Bay of Fundy	01A 01B	41,987 60,653	0.99 1.06	1.5 0.5	145 63	39 16	57.0 88.6	14 6	Ottawa St. Lawrence	20 21	146,353 118,733	14,550 1 8,801 1	31,803 3 09,932 5	3,508 5,971 1	ь,689 1,16 15.222 1.00	3 134,993 0 96,540	2,963 8.451	26 84,99	14,689 644 59.882 1.093

# 3 b) SDG 6.6 – freshwater ecosystem change Canada

Milieux humides du lac Saint-Pierre en 1990-1991



filieux humides du lac Saint-Pierre en 2000-2003



Global surface water data and change over 30 years.



#### SDG Indicator 6.6.1:

- Target 6.6: By 2020 protect and restore water-related ecosystems, including mountains, forests, wetlands, rivers, aquifers and lakes
  - 2020 synchronizes with the Aichi Biodiversity Targets of the CBD but will continue beyond that date to synchronise with the rest of the 2030 Agenda.
- Indicator 6.6.1: Percentage of change in water-related ecosystems extent over time.
  - Their spatial extent
  - The quantity of water contained within these ecosystems
  - The health or state of these ecosystems



#### Stressor Variables Roads Pipelines Releases of Metals, Organics and Nutrients Nastewater Systems Dams Cropland Livestock Manure NOx & SOx Population Change Forestry and Mining **Climate Change** ederal Long Term Monitoring Sites arge Lake Monitoring \* Sub Sub Drainage Areas (SSDA) Political Boundaries sing Rick of Impai Monitoring locations within lakes represent multiple sites sampled on a rotational basi







# Some challenges and gaps

- finding consistent data and methodologies across national and regional scales is difficult (invasive species)
- integrating variables to analyse multiple influences (weighting) is complicated
- finding appropriate time series data is challenging
- reference periods are determined by what data is available not by what is optimal
- communicating pressures and change coming from multiple variables is challenging
- normalizing data to make it comparable is challenging
- analysing acute vs chronic influences is challenging



www.statcan.ac.ca



Canada



#### Statistique Canada

Thank you, questions ?

> Mark Henry, Senior research analyst Land, water and ecosystem accounts Environment program, Statistics Canada Mark.henry@canada.ca



