OECD TASK FORCE ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SEEA-CF – REPORTING OF STOCKS OF NATURAL RESOURCES IN PHYSICAL UNITS

20th Meeting of the London Group on Environmental Accounting New Delhi, October 15-17, 2014

Statistics Directorate, OECD



Mandate of the OECD Task Force on the implementation of the SEEA-CF

- Air Emissions and Natural Resources
- Collect internationally comparable data on air emissions (flows) and natural resources (stocks and flows, physical and monetary units).
- Develop common methodology for the monetary valuation of natural resource stocks.





- Overview of international classification standards for natural resources.
- Mapping with the SEEA-2012 classification: main issues.
- Comparison of currently available data: national and international datasets.
- Findings and conclusions.



International classifications systems for natural resources and reserves

Abbreviation	Full Name	Subject Resource	Latest edition (first edition)
SPE-PRMS ¹	Society of Petroleum Engineers – Petroleum Resources Management System	Fossil Energy (crude oil and natural gas)	2007
CRIRSCO ²	Committee For Mineral Reserves International Reporting Standards	Minerals	2013 (2006)
UNFC-2009 ³	United Nations Framework Classification for Fossil Energy and Mineral Resources	Minerals and Fossil Energy	2009 (1997)
SEEA-2012 ⁴	System of Environmental-Economic Accounting – Central Framework	Renewable and non- renewable natural resources and land	2012 (2003)

¹ SPE-PRMS (2007)

http://www.spe.org/industry/docs/Petroleum_Resources_Management_System_2007.pdf#search=%27Petroleum_Resources_Management_System_2007.pdf

² CRIRSCO (2013) <u>http://www.crirsco.com/templates/crirsco_international_reporting_template_2013.pdf</u>

³ UNECE (2009) http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/energy/se/pdfs/UNFC/EnergySeriesNo33.pdf

⁴ SEEA (2012) http://unstats.un.org/unsd/envaccounting/seeaRev/SEEA_CF_Final_en.pdf

SPE-PRMS, CRIRSCO & UNFC-2009 classifications

SPE-PRMS (fossil energy)

2 Dimensional System, based on;

Vertical axisDegree of commerciality of the resourceHorizontal axisRange of geological uncertainty

CRIRSCO (minerals)

Sources:

2 Dimensional System, based on;

Vertical axisGeological confidenceHorizontal axisModifying factors(e.g. socio-economic factors such

as resource prices or legal constraints)

UNFC 2009 (fossil energy and minerals)

3 Dimensional System, based on;

<u>E axis</u> Economic and social viability of the project

Faxis Field project status and its feasibility

<u>G axis</u> Geological knowledge about the available quantities







SPE-PRMS (2007) <u>http://www.spe.org/industry/docs/Petroleum_Resources_Management_System_2007.pdf#search=%27Petroleum_Resources_Management_System_2007.pdf</u> CRIRSCO (2013) <u>http://www.crirsco.com/templates/crirsco_international_reporting_template_2013.pdf</u> UNECE (2009) http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/energy/se/pdfs/UNFC/EnergySeriesNo33.pdf



SEEA-2012 classification on natural resources distinguishes three classes for reporting known deposits, based on UNFC 2009.

Class A: Commercially recoverable resources.

This class includes deposits for projects that fall in categories E1and F1 and where the level of confidence in the geologic knowledge is high (G1), moderate (G2) or low (G3);

Class B: Potentially commercially recoverable resources.

This class includes deposits for those projects that fall in the category E2 (or eventually E1) and at the same time in F2.1 or F2.2 and where the level of confidence in the geologic knowledge is high (G1), moderate (G2) or low (G3);

Class C: Non-commercial and other known deposits.

These are resources for those projects that fall into category E3 and for which the feasibility is categorized as F2.2, F2.3 or F4 and where the level of confidence in the geologic knowledge is high (G1), moderate (G2) or low (G3).

How to relate this classification to international classification standards?

Correspondence Table (Oil and Gas)

Correspondence between SPE-PRMS, UNFC-2009 and SEEA-2012 classifications

	PRMS Classes PRMS Sub		ub-Class UNFC E axis	UNFC F axis	UNFC G axis			
Fundamental Characterization		PRMS Sub-Class			1P/1C Low Estimate	2P/2C Best Estimate	3P/3C High Estimate	
Discovered and Commercially Recoverable	Reserves	On Production	1.1 or 1.2	1.1	1	1+2	1+2+3	0554
		Approved for Development	1.1 or 1.2	1.2	1	1+2	1+2+3	2012
		Justified for Development	1.1 or 1.2	1.3	1	1+2	1+2+3	Class A
	Contingent Resources	Development Pending	1	2.1	1	1+2	1+2+3	SEEA-
			2.1	2.1	1	1+2	1+2+3	2012
Discovered and Not		Development Unclarified	2.1	2.2	1	1+2	1+2+3	Class B
Commercially Recoverable		or on Hold	3.2	2.2	1	1+2	1+2+3	SEEA-
		Development not Viable	2.2	2.3	1	1+2	1+2+3	2012 Class C
	U	Inrecoverable	3.3	4.1	1	1+2	1+2+3	Class C
Undiscovered	Prospective Resources	Prospect	3.2	3.1	4.1	4.1+4.2	4.1+4.2+4.3	
		Lead	3.2	3.2	4.1	4.1+4.2	4.1+4.2+4.3	
		Play	3.2	3.3	4.1	4.1+4.2	4.1+4.2+4.3	
	U	Inrecoverable	3.3	4.2	4.1	4.1+4.2	4.1+4.2+4.3	

Developed based on UNECE (2009) and SEEA 2012 mapping exercises.

Rows correspond to items of the SPE-PRMS classification and columns to items of the UNFC-2009 classification. SEEA-2012 natural resource classes are indicated with colours.



Correspondence between CRIRSCO, UNFC-2009 and SEEA-2012 classifications

	Solid Mineral	Mineral Project			UNFC G axis			
Fundamental Characterization		Dovelopment Stage			Proved	Probable		
	Classes	Developinent Stage	ans	axis	Measured	Indicated	Inferred	
		On Production	1	1.1	1	2		SEEA-
Discovered and Commercially	Mineral	Project	1	1.2	1	2		2012
Recoverable	Reserves	Implementation	I	1.2	1	2		
		Feasibility Study	1	1.3	1	2		Class A
		Pre-Feasibility	1	2.1	1	2	3	SEEA-
	Mineral	Study	2.1	2.1	1	2	3	2012
Discovered and Not	Resources	Order of Magnitude	2.1	2.2	1	2	3	Class B
		Studies	3.2	2.2	1	2	3	SEEA.
	Discovered		2.2	23	1	2	2	2012
	Not Economic		2.2	2.5		2	5	
	Unrecoverable		3.3	4.1	1	2	3	Class C
	Exploration	Concontual Studios	3.0	2.2		Λ		
Undiscovered	Results	Conceptual Studies	5.2	5.5		4		
	Unrecoverable		3.3	4.2		4		

Developed based on UNECE (2009) and SEEA 2012 mapping exercises.

Rows correspond to items of the SPE-PRMS classification and columns to items of the UNFC-2009 classification. SEEA-2012 natural resource classes are indicated with colours.

Source: UNECE (2009) http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/energy/se/pdfs/UNFC/EnergySeriesNo33.pdf

National and international datasets considered so far



International Data

- British Petroleum (BP)
- U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA)
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS)
- Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC)

Investigated for crude oil, natural gas, coal and iron ore**

* Members of the OECD SEEA Task Force on natural resources.

* * Coal and Iron ore have only been investigated for Australia and Canada.

Physical Stocks of Natural Resources: Australia (1/2)



Pending

Physical Stocks of Natural Resources: Australia (2/2)



Physical Stocks of Natural Resources: United Kingdom (1/2)









- The main issue is the coexistence and interpretation of different classification systems to measure remaining stocks (e.g. SEEA-2012, UNFC-2009, SPE-PRMS, CRIRSCO).
- Two main difficulties can be encountered in practice when trying to move to the SEEA-2012 classification:
 - Data need to be available with a sufficient level of disaggregation in the original classification system. This is not always the case.
 - Countries need to consider a wide range of resource types in order to fill the (quite large) resource classes advocated by the SEEA-CF.
- Even when definitions are aligned, reported estimates may be extremely different (e.g. for Australia and the U.K.).



- Countries engaged or interested in the statistical reporting of physical stocks of natural resources should (1) provide enough metadata to unable clear understanding of how the reported volumes fit into the international classification standards and (2) keep the SEEA-2012 classification in mind.
- National data sources should be preferred, even if this implies to focus on some resources and the main producing countries in a first stage.
- All countries are kindly invited to share their experience and the difficulties they encounter for the volume measurement of stocks of natural resources.



Thank you for your attention!

For more information, please contact: pierre-alain.pionnier@oecd.org

