



System of Environmental-Economic Accounting— Ecosystem Accounting

Global Consultation on the complete document: Comments Form

Deadline for responses: 30November 2020 Send responses to: seea@un.org

Name:	Lamia LAABAR				
Organization& country:	High Commission of Planning-MOROCCO				

The comments form has been designed to facilitate the analysis of comments. There are six guiding questions in the form, please respond to the questions in the indicated boxes below. To submit responses please save this document and send it as an attachment to: seea@un.org.

All documents can be found onour website at: https://seea.un.org/content/global-consultation-complete-draft

In case you have any questions or have issues with accessing the documents, please contact us at seea@un.org

General comments

Question 1: Do you have comments on the overall draft of the SEEA Ecosystem Accounting?

The framework is very rich in information and definitions, it would be desirable to use
more figures and diagrams to help users understand and use.

Comments by sets of chapters

Question 2. Do you have comments on Chapters 1-2 of the draft SEEA Ecosystem Accounting?

It would	be	preferable	to a	dd	a į	paragraph	that	introduces	the	link	with	the	SNA
especially	for	non accour	iting p	peop	ole.								

Question 3. Do you have comments on Chapters 3-5 of the draft SEEA Ecosystem Accounting?

at this level, I see that it is interesting to shed light on the relationship between natural resources as a natural asset and ecosystem types, I still have doubts about the concordance of the two concepts



Question 4. Do you have comments on Chapters 6-7 of the draft SEEA Ecosystem Accounting?

Click here and start typing (The length of your response is not limited by this text box.)

Some confusions, when we talk about non SNA-benefits and their scope which is limited to people and society and excludes contributions of ecosystems to their own longer-term condition and potential to supply ecosystem services in the future : in one hand, here it's difficult to distinguish between longer-term and shorter-term condition and in the other hand, by the definition of intermediate services: we keep the relation between ecosystem asset and ecosystem services for supplying of final ecosystem services where the user is ecosystem asset and it can be the last or final user not economics unit (maybe I say silliness)

Question 5. Do you have comments on Chapters 8-11 of the draft SEEA Ecosystem Accounting?

I don't understand the usefulness of writing growth in Timber in both cases as SNA benefit and non SNA benefit. In my modest opinion, adding the line about air filtration is enough.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
enough.

?

No comments. it's clear despite the difficult aspect.

