



DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL AFFAIRS
STATISTICS DIVISION
UNITED NATIONS



System of
Environmental
Economic
Accounting

System of Environmental-Economic Accounting 2012 – Experimental Ecosystem Accounting Revision

First Global Consultation on:

Chapter 3: Spatial units for Ecosystem Accounting

Chapter 4: Accounting for Ecosystem Extent

Chapter 5: Accounting for Ecosystem Condition

Comments Form

Deadline for responses: 30 April 2020

Send responses to: seea@un.org

Name:	Marta Wojciechowska
Organization & country:	Statistics Poland

The comment form has been designed to facilitate the analysis of comments. There are nine guiding questions in the form, please respond to the questions in the indicated boxes below. To submit responses please save this document and send it as an attachment to the following e-mail address: seea@un.org.

All documents can be also found on the SEEA EEA Revision website at:
<https://seea.un.org/content/seea-experimental-ecosystem-accounting-revision>

In case you have any questions or have issues with accessing the documents, please contact us at seea@un.org

Question 1: Do you have any comments on the definition and description of ecosystem assets and ecosystem accounting areas and the associated measurement boundaries and treatments?

We do agree with the presented application scope and the methodology.

Question 2. Do you have any comments on the use of the IUCN Global Ecosystem Typology as the SEEA Ecosystem Type Reference Classification?

We have no comments on the use of the IUCN Global Ecosystem Typology as the SEEA Ecosystem Type Reference Classification.

Question 3. Do you have any comments on the recording of changes in ecosystem extent and ecosystem condition, including the recording of ecosystem conversions, as described in chapters 4 and 5?

We agree with recording of changes in ecosystem extent and ecosystem condition, including the recording of ecosystem conversions.

Question 4. Do you have any comments on the three-stage approach to accounting for ecosystem condition, including the aggregation of condition variables and indicators?

We have no objections to the proposed three-stage approach to accounting for ecosystem condition, including the aggregation of condition variables and indicators.

Question 5. Do you have any comments on the description and application of the concept of reference condition and the use of both natural and anthropogenic reference conditions in accounting for ecosystem condition?

We do agree with the presented application scope and the methodology, and also with the shape of the document.

Question 6. Do you have any comments on Ecosystem Condition Typology for organising characteristics, data and indicators about ecosystem condition?

The conception of coherent Ecosystem Condition Typology for organising characteristics, data and indicators about ecosystem condition is to be welcomed.

Question 7. Do you have any other comments on Chapter 3?

We are of the opinion that the work done so far is very good. The style, tone and readability is not a concern, as it is truly appropriate from our point of view.

Question 8. Do you have any other comments on Chapter 4?

The general view is that the chapter is comprehensive and systematic, which is convenient for the user.

Question 9. Do you have any other comments on Chapter 5?

We support the content of Chapter 5 as it stands.