



DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL AFFAIRS  
STATISTICS DIVISION  
UNITED NATIONS



System of  
Environmental  
Economic  
Accounting

---

## System of Environmental-Economic Accounting 2012 – Experimental Ecosystem Accounting Revision

### First Global Consultation on:

**Chapter 3: Spatial units for Ecosystem Accounting**

**Chapter 4: Accounting for Ecosystem Extent**

**Chapter 5: Accounting for Ecosystem Condition**

### *Comments Form*

**Deadline for responses: 30 April 2020**

**Send responses to: [seea@un.org](mailto:seea@un.org)**

Name:	Salah Karim
Organization & country:	National institute of statistics

The comment form has been designed to facilitate the analysis of comments. There are nine guiding questions in the form, please respond to the questions in the indicated boxes below. To submit responses please save this document and send it as an attachment to the following e-mail address: [seea@un.org](mailto:seea@un.org).

All documents can be also found on the SEEA EEA Revision website at:  
<https://seea.un.org/content/seea-experimental-ecosystem-accounting-revision>

In case you have any questions or have issues with accessing the documents, please contact us at [seea@un.org](mailto:seea@un.org)

**Question 1: Do you have any comments on the definition and description of ecosystem assets and ecosystem accounting areas and the associated measurement boundaries and treatments?**

Give more clarification on the limits between 2 atmospheres of 2 different ecosystem assets.

**Question 2. Do you have any comments on the use of the IUCN Global Ecosystem Typology as the SEEA Ecosystem Type Reference Classification?**

Using the UICN global ecosystem typology as the SEEA Ecosystem Type Reference Classification is the best choice since it benefits from a broad consent on its theoretical aspects

**Question 3. Do you have any comments on the recording of changes in ecosystem extent and ecosystem condition, including the recording of ecosystem conversions, as described in chapters 4 and 5?**

No comment

**Question 4. Do you have any comments on the three-stage approach to accounting for ecosystem condition, including the aggregation of condition variables and indicators?**

In the *Stage 3 - Ecosystem condition index account* : I propose to use the geometric mean for the calculation of the sub-index and of the Ecosystem condition index since the geometric mean reflects better the effect of the extreme values.

**Question 5. Do you have any comments on the description and application of the concept of reference condition and the use of both natural and anthropogenic reference conditions in accounting for ecosystem condition?**

In the annex 5.5 there are many options to choose between them, so i don't have any comment.

**Question 6. Do you have any comments on Ecosystem Condition Typology for organising characteristics, data and indicators about ecosystem condition?**

I think that the ecosystem condition typology is a good thing to ensure the coverage and the a better classification of the characteristics of the ecosystems based on data.

**Question 7. Do you have any other comments on Chapter 3?**

-Add examples to facilitate the comprehension.

**Question 8. Do you have any other comments on Chapter 4?**

Add examples to facilitate the comprehension

**Question 9. Do you have any other comments on Chapter 5?**

Add examples to facilitate the comprehension