

# Deriving indicators from SEEA EEA

## Initial results from evaluation of four SDG indicators in South Africa

Jane Turpie, Mandy Driver & Aimee Ginsburg  
SEEA Indicator Webinar, 2 June 2020



# South Africa's reporting so far on the 4 selected indicators




SDG Indicators	SDG Baseline Report (Stats SA 2017)	SDG Country Report (Stats SA 2019)
6.6.1: Water-related ecosystems	--	✓
11.7.1: Urban open space	--	--
15.1.1: Forest area	✓	✓
15.3.1: Degraded land area	--	✓

# Recently produced SEEA accounts in SA

## National land cover (tier 2)

2014

- Natural or semi-natural
- Commercial crops
- Subsistence crops
- Orchards and vines
- Plantations
- Urban
- Mines
- Waterbodies
- Provincial boundary



- **Land and terrestrial ecosystem account (LTEA), 1990 to 2014**
  - Land accounts reported at national, provincial and district level
  - Ecosystem extent account by biome and ecosystem type
- **Land accounts for metropolitan municipalities, 1990 to 2014**
  - Subset of the national land accounts, for 8 metros
  - With supplementary analysis on urban green open space
- **Accounts for protected areas, 1900 to 2018**
  - Land-based protected areas
  - With supplementary analysis on surrounding land use and population (national parks)
  - *Accounts for marine protected areas under development*

# 6.6.1 (1) Spatial extent of water-related ecosystems

Estimates for ~2014 using different datasets	ha	% SA land area	Comments
EC JRC Global dataset 30 m	571 551	0.5	Land cover data result in under-reporting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Misses small wetlands (the majority of wetland area)</li> <li>• Under-estimates during drier seasons/years (SA is semi-arid)</li> </ul>
Global Lakes and Wetlands database	1 536 066	1.3	
Land & Terrestrial Ecosystem Accounts (SA NLC 30m) *	1 420 676	1.2	
SDG Country report (HYDSTRA Database by SANBI/CSIR)	3 902 926	3.2	Combined land cover data with SANBI's GIS data on wetlands
South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE)	4 123 798	3.4	Official national wetland inventory curated by SANBI, robust estimate of full extent.

- Table shows global & national land cover data are inadequate for measuring extent of water-related ecosystems in SA
- In highly seasonal/arid zones, very important to use more than just land cover to track extent of water-related ecosystems
- The SAIIAE will provide the best estimate of baseline extent, with current land cover useful for tracking conversion/loss of wetlands to other land uses

# Indicator 11.7.1 Urban open space

Average share of the built-up area of cities that is open space for public use for all, by sex, age and persons with disabilities


- $\% \text{ Open Space} = \frac{\text{Open public space area} + \text{Street area}}{\text{Built-up area}}$
- This indicator not reported in SA to date, in any form
- Land accounts have been produced for each Metropolitan Municipality
  - As opposed to urban areas (boundaries change)
- The supplementary analyses describe open space in urban areas, and could be better aligned to the indicator (at least for metros)
  - Using UN guidelines on defining urban agglomerations;
  - Using the Metros' detailed data on open space
- Will need to update metro datasets following international open space typology
  - The SA National Land Cover could be adapted to this end

## Some suggestions


- Need guidance on **scale** – all urban area in the country?
  - Cut-off based on town size (↑ size, ↑ importance)
- **Street area** not universally appropriate, suggest modification
  - Consider extent to which streets add amenity value, e.g. with street trees
- **Safety**: Info ideally combined with crime statistics - probably biggest determinant of open space utility/disutility in SA

# Indicator 15.1.1 Forest area % land area under forest, as defined by FAO

## Land cover classes with > 10% tree cover



## Historical extent of SA's three woody biomes



## Analysis

- **Not all trees are equal:** FAO definition is forestry-focused, and problematic for SA
  - Would include exotic forestry plantations, areas with bush encroachment and woody invasive alien plants
  - Gains in these would mask losses of indigenous forest ecosystems
  - Doesn't align with sense of healthy ecosystems
- **SA has domesticated the indicator**
  - Reports on remaining extent of 3 woody biomes relative to their historical extent
  - True indigenous forest (<1% of land area), thicket and savanna



## Suggestions

- **Exclude exotic plantations**
- Change the global method to report on remaining extent of **all major biomes** (using IUCN GET) – rationale for focusing only on forests is unclear.
- Add condition modifier to measure **remaining functional extent**
  - SEEA is well-suited to deliver this

# Indicator 15.3.1 Proportion of land degraded

10AO: Land Cover/NPP/Carbon Degraded/Stable/Improved  
Baseline 2015 based on 2000-15

Trends.Earth output



## Analysis

- SA's SDG reporting based on global method and datasets is **unreliable**
  - increased NPP can signify degradation in the case of **bush encroachment, invasive alien plants**
- Method for ecosystem condition accounts still being developed, and will be related to a Reference condition
  - Degree of resemblance to natural (categories or index)
- Neither NLC nor NDVI alone provide a suitable measure
  - Too recent, needs detailed analysis, ground truthing etc.
- Once developed, will produce a more reliable indicator of **degradation = decline in ecosystem condition**

## Conclusion

- Because ecosystem accounts should include condition accounts, SEEA likely to produce better estimates of degradation