

# **Note on Definition of Socio-Ecological Landscape Unit, SELU Classification and its Policy Application**

**Alessandra Alfieri, Daniel Clarke and Ivo Havinga, United Nations Statistics Division  
and  
Jean Louis Weber, European Environmental Agency**

**Expert Meeting on Ecosystem Accounting, 11-13 May 2011  
European Environmental Agency  
Copenhagen, Denmark**

## **Introduction**

1. The SNA records **economic assets** which are defined as “*a store of value representing a benefit or series of benefits accruing to the economic owner by holding or using the entity over a period of time. It is a means of carrying forward value from one accounting period to another. All assets in the SNA are economic assets*”. (SNA2008, 10.8). The SEEA which expands the scope of assets beyond the boundaries of the SNA and will address all land and ecosystems, even though ownership rights are not established and no direct economic benefits derived from them.
2. In the SEEA assets such as land, soil, water, timber and other biological resources are shared between units of the economy and environmental accounting units of the ecosystems. From the economic perspective, the units, are production units (i.e. establishments) and institutional units. All the economic units are defined by or in relation to property rights. From the environment perspective, the equivalent units are elementary land cover functional units and socio-ecological units as systems. Because they are not defined by legal or economic principles, the ecosystem units necessary for accounting must be defined according to scientific analysis and the existence of information sources allowing empirical measurements and accounts computation.
3. In contrast to products and assets in the economy, which can be quantified individually with a certain metric and described by standard accounting balances, the theoretical functional and socio-ecological units need to be defined and represented as statistical units with clearly defined boundaries, characteristics and properties. For inland ecosystems, land cover units are used as building block to produce such representations necessary for mirroring economic categories and producing integrated economic-environmental accounts.
4. When analysing a relatively small land area in isolation, it is not possible to understand the complete behaviour of the ecosystem, which depends to a large part on the neighbouring units and the common structures such as river basins or geographical zones (mountain, coast, low and high lands). There is thus a need to observe these units as part of a landscape which reflects the concept of the socio-ecological system (SES). For accounting purposes, these landscape complexes could be seen as analogous to the ‘enterprises’ of the SNA and are in this proposal called the socio-ecological landscape units (SELUs). Indeed, in analogy with the SNA, SELU are the entities which are using assets (land, soil resources, biological resources, water resources) in order to produce the various services of the

ecosystem. The SELU are not merely an aggregation of smaller land cover functional units, rather they represent elementary socio-ecological system statistical units that represent the complete behaviour of the ecosystem..

5. The idea of socio-ecological systems relates to the understanding that it is impossible to understand nature without society, and society without nature. SES are complex adaptive systems. Many broadly equivalent definitions exist such as this one: “*A social-ecological system consists of a bio-geophysical unit and its associated social actors and institutions. Social-ecological systems are complex and adaptive and delimited by spatial or functional boundaries surrounding particular ecosystems and their problem context.*” (Glaser et al. 2008). SES is a powerful concept which generates important research in context of resilience and adaptability issues. To be considered in accounting, it needs a translation into statistical category. This leads to the proposal of defining a proxy unit of SES for observation, statistical collection and economic-environmental accounting named socio-ecological landscape unit (SELU).

6. In the economic accounts, institutional units own assets which are distributed between establishments which produce commodities in workshops grouped in particular locations. Institutional units are the nervous centre where trade-offs between development options are made and decisions taken. By analogy, socio-ecological systems “own” assets (land with the various elements that it supports) which are grouped into natural production units (land cover units, similar to establishments) depending from complex entities or systems where they interact. The behaviour of institutional units is shaped by ownership rights (to buy, own, sell, borrow...) while socio-ecological landscape units are shaped by the geography (relief and climate) and the legacy of land use. Both units have multiple activities or run multiple processes. The establishments are generally specialised in a limited number of products, so are the land cover units with ecosystem services. The assets of both institutional and socio-ecological units determine their capacity of delivering services.

7. Within SELU, the land cover accounts makes visible the main changes which summarise interactions between the economy and nature and their impacts on ecosystem state and capacity of delivering services. These impacts come from pressures such as urban sprawl and development of infrastructures (converting agriculture and natural land), intensification and industrialisation of agriculture (converting family farming and mosaic landscapes), extension of agriculture in general (converting forests and marginal land), drainage of wetlands (although in many regions most of it has been done so far), deforestation (for timber production and or agriculture development), afforestation (to reverse the effects of deforestation and desertification).

8. In practice, socio-ecological landscape units will be mapped regarding topography and dominant land cover type. Topography will consider first the boundaries of river basins which are natural frontiers in general and which channel a particular socio-ecosystem: the rivers network. Then the relief itself is introduced as three basic classes: lowland, highlands (hills and plateaux) and mountains. These three relief categories are not purely physical (altitude and slope). They are correlated to climate and phenology (the way plants reproduce and grow). For example, in the Northern hemisphere the phenology of lower altitudes at high latitudes corresponds to high altitude at lower latitude. The three categories will be therefore translated into norms by climatic regions, with the purpose of having comparable categories of SELU.

9. The physical units are then combined with the map of dominant land cover types in order to produce the map and directory of SELU.

10. Because coasts are ecosystems of a particular kind, an additional breakdown is then done in order to map separately the subset of SELU adjacent to the sea. Coastal areas are specific socio-ecological systems which need to be addressed in an integrated way with other terrestrial ecosystems, because they provide economic resources like sea grass and algae beds and important habitats for many marine species. The functioning of the marine plant and animal life have to be understood in relation to impacts from aspects like the inland pollution transferred to the sea by rivers, the development of infrastructures on the coast line which modify the streams in the sea, the damming of rivers which reduce sediment inputs and overfishing. These coastal zones are described as mosaics of both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems comprising seashore, tidal flats, coral reefs, and seaweed/ grass beds, with an emphasis on the aquatic ecosystems<sup>1</sup>.

11. Lastly, the hydrologic network is added up as a specific system which links all the land units within a catchment. The subdivisions of the hydrologic network (river reaches) have from this perspective a status equivalent to land cover units. The principles of such analyse are those presented in the so-called accounts of the SEEA Water.

#### **Classification of socio-ecological landscape unit**

12. A socio-ecological landscape unit undertakes production of goods and services in its own right based on its autonomous character, function and processes determined by its environmental assets through socio-economic and bio-physical interactions and common structures such as slope, altitude and climate.

13. SELU are classified according to their characteristics of relief (belonging to a river basin and altitude and slope characteristic - mountain, highland, lowland), position (proximity to the sea), dynamism of exchanges (the rivers networks vs. more static land objects) and lastly dominant land cover type.

14. The dominant land cover type is assessed regarding the influence that the various land cover functional units on their own space as well as on their neighbourhood because of their size or/and number in a particular area.

15. The dominant land cover types units are presented with the same classification as the land cover functional units of which they are a generalisation (see note on land cover classification).

16. SELU classification is presented in Table 1

---

<sup>1</sup>Cf. *Satoyama-Satoumi* Ecosystems and Human Well-being: Socio-ecological Production Landscapes of Japan – Summary for Decision Makers. United Nations University, Tokyo, Japan, 2010

**Table 1 Classification of Socio-Ecological Landscape Units**

<b>1</b>	<b>Mountain ecosystem landscapes</b>
1.1	Urban and associated developed areas
1.2	Broad pattern agriculture
1.3	Agriculture associations and mosaics
1.4	Pastures and natural grassland
1.5	Forest tree cover
1.6	Other dominant natural land cover
1.7	Composite land cover (no dominant land cover)
<b>2.</b>	<b>Highland ecosystem landscapes</b>
2.1	Urban and associated developed areas
2.2	Broad pattern agriculture
2.3	Agriculture associations and mosaics
2.4	Pastures and natural grassland
2.5	Forest tree cover
2.6	Other dominant natural land cover
2.7	Composite land cover (no dominant land cover)
<b>3.</b>	<b>Lowland ecosystems (inland) landscapes</b>
3.1	Urban and associated developed areas
3.2	Broad pattern agriculture
3.3	Agriculture associations and mosaics
3.4	Pastures and natural grassland
3.5	Forest tree cover
3.6	Other dominant natural land cover
3.7	Composite land cover (no dominant land cover)
<b>4.</b>	<b>Coastal landscapes</b>
4.1	Urban and associated developed areas
4.2	Broad pattern agriculture
4.3	Agriculture associations and mosaics
4.4	Pastures and natural grassland
4.5	Forest tree cover
4.6	Other dominant natural land cover
4.7	Composite land cover (no dominant land cover)
<b>5.</b>	<b>River systems</b>

17. In order to make possible the identification of a dominant land cover type, the analysis is carried out with a limited number of land cover classes, including a broad class of “Other”. In a second step, it is possible to assign an additional attribute to the SELU. One possibility is to subdivide this class mentioned previously with a dual indexing, e.g. other dominant natural land cover/open wetlands. Another possibility is to record the sub-dominant character of “Composite land cover (no dominant land cover)”.

18. Table 2 lists possible additional indexations of SELU once defined at the aggregated level.

**Table 2 Optional classification for sub-dominant classes of SELU**

Other dominant natural land cover	
<i>Optional: subdominant natural characteristic</i>	
	<i>Shrubland, bushland, heathland</i>
	<i>Sparse vegetation and bare land</i>
	<i>Permanent snow and glaciers</i>
	<i>Open wetlands</i>
	<i>Water bodies</i>
Composite land cover (no dominant land cover)	
<i>Optional: subdominant characteristic</i>	
	<i>Built up and associated areas</i>
	<i>Agriculture</i>
	<i>Natural and semi-natural land cover</i>

19. For reporting purposes, the SELU can be grouped into reporting units: river basins, coastal zones, mountain areas as well including administrative units, when appropriate.

**Main applications of accounting by SELU: Ecosystem physical flow and asset balances and physical composite indicator of ecosystem health**

20. The physical accounts of SELU integrate quantitative and qualitative dimensions of ecosystems, in particular health. Health refers to vigour, integrity and resilience (see David J. Rapport). Accounts by SELU are physical balances (stocks and flows) of environmental assets for land cover, soil resources, biological resources and water resources and semi-quantitative counts of distress symptoms (dependency from artificial inputs, disease prevalence of human or wildlife populations).

21. The physical balances of the main assets give a first level of information on the state of ecosystem capital, particularly whether the stocks were depleted over the accounting period or maintained. Accounting for land cover change at the level of land cover units or by administrative entities provides quantitative measurement, which are not always sufficient for establishing a diagnosis. A particular difficulty results from unclear bottom lines, in particular when long term change happens at a moderate pace. The same land (and other assets) accounts can be more clearly interpreted if their results are understood as symptoms of possible dysfunction of complex ecosystems, in particular in conjunction with the multiple flows for the environmental assets. This multi-criteria analysis provides qualitative information and a first set of ecosystem health indexes which can be interpreted at the level of SELU.

22. In addition, other health symptoms are recorded regarding the capacity and performance of the system. This information, which is not summarized in physical balances, includes conditions of the species within a system (e.g. recurrent diseases or intoxication from pesticides) and the dependency of the ecosystem from artificial inputs. Some of these symptoms can be observed at the level of individual

land cover functional units. Others require an auscultation of the SELU, in particular when biodiversity issues or losses of wellbeing are involved.

23. Quantitative and qualitative indices extracted from the ecosystem accounts are used to make a diagnosis of ecosystems represented by land units and their biophysical and socio-economic properties. The approach is to use accounts to evaluate multiple symptoms simultaneously, similarly to the doctor's check list for the annual preventative medical check-up.

### **Diagnosis of ecosystem health – measurement of ecosystem health**

24. The diagnosis of the SELUs by particular land cover weighted by the basic assets balances produces a composite indicator of ecosystem health in physical terms as a measure of capacity and performance of the ecosystem. The approach and methodology will be detailed at a latter stage.

### **Accounting for the stress factors**

25. For each SELU, the health diagnosis can be connected to the stress factors which are responsible of the observed state: pressure from human activities and natural disturbances. According to Rapport (op. cit), pressure relate to over harvesting, force feeding, artificial introduction of species, deposition of residuals, or/and system restructuring (e.g. fragmentation by roads, dams...).

26. Most pressures are conventionally recorded by institutional sectors in the SEEA flow and asset accounts. Ecosystem accounts will further contribute in assessing these pressures from the point of view of their impacts on ecosystems. The assessment of stress factors follow the DPSIR framework used for environmental reporting. In the case of ecosystem capital accounts, "S", the ecosystem state is the starting point. Pressures are identified in respect to their effect on ecosystem state observed at the level of land cover functional units and SELU.