

System of Environmental Economic Accounting

### Valuation – country experiences



### **International experiences**

Valuation experiences

- South Africa
- Netherlands
- United Kingdom
- EU water purification



### Valuation of ES – South Africa

- 10 individual services were modelled and valued
- Using a range of techniques, but always local/national data



Fig. 3. Value of provisioning services in the form of (a) fodder production and (b) harvested natural resources, including instream water and estuarine/coastal resources.

Source: Turpie et al., 2017



### **SA - continued**





Source: Turpie et al., 2017

- Objective: investigate methods to value water resources consistent with national accounts principles
- Using the measurement boundary of the System of Environmental Economic Accounting(SEEA) Central Framework
- Restrict to extractive use / provisioning services of various types of water resources
- Approximately 26 billion euros, or 10 % of value of natural capital currently in Dutch balance sheet



Water Resources and Economics 7 (2014) 66-81



Experimental valuation of Dutch water resources OccossMark according to SNA and SEEA

Bram Edens\*, Cor Graveland

National Accounts Department, Statistics Netherlands, The Hague, Netherlands



#### Table 1

Abstraction of water by Dutch economy, 2010. Source: [14–16] with minor adjustments.

Water	Unit	Economic activity													
resource		Agriculture, forestry and fishing	Mining and quarrying	Manufacturing	Electricity and gas supply	Watersupply and waste management	Other	Total water use							
Ground	mln m <sup>3</sup>	96	0	142	5	763		1006							
Cooling	mln m <sup>3</sup>		0	65	0			65							
Other use	mln m <sup>3</sup>	96	0	77	5	763		941							
Surface	mln m <sup>3</sup>	26	1	3350	9693	1006		14,076							
Fresh	mln m <sup>3</sup>	26	1	804	-	1006		1837							
Fresh – cooling	mln m <sup>3</sup>	•	•	2273	5699	•	•	7972							
Salt and brackish	mln m <sup>3</sup>		•	273	3994	•	•	4267							
Soil water	mln m <sup>3</sup>	7076	-	-	-	-		7076							
Total	mln m <sup>3</sup>	7198	1	3492	9699	1769		22,159							



RR problematic due to market condition

Replacement costs techniques:

- Valuation of provisioning service of groundwater: using additional cleaning costs when using surface water
- Assuming that surface water is indeed available under comparable conditions for abstraction and transport and not subject to depletion
- The least cost alternative for using surface water for making drinking water would be to use desalination.

Operational costs of drinking water production for various water sources, 2010.



0.6







Source: PBL, RIVM, WUR, CICES 2014



- Limburg province:
- Biophysical model for 7 ecosystem services
- Spatially explicit!
- (although resolution differs)





### **Ecosystem services supply and use table**

#### ECOSYSTEM SERVICES SUPPLY TABLE

		Type of economic unit											Туре	of Ec	osys	tem U	nit				_			
	UNITS	Agriculture, forestry and fisheries	Electricity, gas supply	Water collection, treatment and supply	Other industries	Households	Accumulation	Rest of the world - Imports	Artificial surfaces	Herbaceous crops	Woody crops	Multiple or layered crops	Grassland	Tree-covered areas	Mangroves	<ul> <li>Shrub-covered areas</li> </ul>	Regularly flooded areas	Sparse natural vegetated areas	Terrestrial barren land	Permanent snow and glaciers	Inland water bodies	Coastal water and inter-tidal areas	Sea and marine areas	TOTAL SUPPLY
									1	2	3	4	5	6	/	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
Ecosystem services Provisioning services Regulating services Cultural services					A											в								
Products					С				<b>Q</b>															

#### ECOSYSTEM SERVICES USE TABLE

		Type of economic unit						Type of Ecosystem Unit																
	UNITS	Agriculture, forestry and fisheries	Electricity, gas supply	Water collection, treatment and supply	Other industries	Households	Accumulation	Rest of the world - Exports	Artificial surfaces	Herbaceous crops	Woody crops	Multiple or layered crops	Grassland	Tree-covered areas	Mangroves	Shrub-covered areas	Regularly flooded areas	Sparse natural vegetated areas	Terrestrial barren land	Permanent snow and glaciers	Inland water bodies	Coastal water and inter-tidal areas	Sea and marine areas	TOTAL USE
Econystom comises									1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
Provisioning services																								
Regulating services		E				F																		
Cultural services																								
Products					G											H								



Ecosystem monetary supply table

• Values per ha (per ET)

		Non-nere nnial nlants	and the second se	Hedgerows		Conferous forest	Mived forest		neath land Freeh water watlande		ratura 5rastanu Dinhir graan shaca	Other unbaved terrain	River flood basin	Totals
extent	ha	53.629	27.066	2.940	11.414	7.091	10.437	2.149	936	3.121	4.761	22.591	14.126	220.922
Crops	€	35.303.100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37.908.400
Fodder	€	1.960.900	4.587.100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	942.300	7.556.200
Meat (from game)	€	817.700	223.400	-	186.800	192.700	261.100	35.600	12.700	32.900	14.700	211.200	136.000	2.249.400
Ground water	€	3.861.200	1.802.300	193.900	824.200	63.500	218.700	57.300	11.200	295.700	192.600	1.041.100	545.700	11.602.800
Capture of PM10	€	301.200	173.700	30.400	200.200	185.700	200.700	27.200	2.400	46.700	78.100	258.200	85.900	2.275.900
Carbon sequestration	€	300	165.700	18.000	562.500	350.300	515.000	13.200	6.400	19.300	40.500	139.000	95.600	2.006.100
Nature tourism Recreation (cycling)	€	4.410.000	6.349.100	2.357.700	6.930.100	3.162.500	5.443.100	917.000	392.800	2.488.900	625.900	2.870.600	3.162.100	41.816.200
	€	46.654.400	13.301.400	2.600.000	8.703.800	3.954.700	6.638.800	1.050.400	425.400	2.883.500	951.700	4.520.200	4.967.500	105.415.000
value per ha (excl. Amenity)	€/ha	870	491	884	763	558	636	489	454	924	200	200	352	477
value per ha (incl. Amenity)*	€/ha	870	491	884	1.193	988	1.066	489	454	924	688	220	352	553



# Example: valuing woodland timber provisioning service (annual)



Physical service flow

Valuing the flow

• Volume of timber removed



13.7 million cubic metres of wood standing (overbark)

- Use market prices such as stumpage prices:
- £16.58 per cubic metre of overbark standing in 2015

The stumpage price represents the value of the timber standing in the forest (i.e. before extraction). It may be necessary to net off some management overheads

- P x Q: Value of ecosystem provision of timber
- = 16.58 x 13.7
- = £227 million p.a.

NB This treatment assumes that cultivated timber in plantations is not included in the accounting framework in the form of incremental growth

# Example: valuing woodland's carbon sequestration service (annual)

#### Physical service flow

- UK woodland area
- Data on age, species, etc
- Apply sequestration rate / ha



17 million tonnes of  $CO_2$  equiv. removed



#### Valuing the flow

- Use appropriate carbon price e.g. non-traded price of carbon (consistent with meeting UK targets)
- The extent to which this is an exchange value depends upon assumptions about the nature of the market that would have to be in place in order to obtain such prices
- £61/tonne in 2015

## P x Q: Value of ecosystem contribution to the sequestration of carbon

= £61 x 17



= £1,046 million p.a.

### Example - valuing woodland recreation

#### Physical service flow

- Numbers of visitors to woodland (based on survey data)
  - 557 million visits in 2015

296 million hours spent

 Travel cost method: using combination of costs of travel and admission to sites

Valuing the flow

• Average cost per visit £0.52

Excludes value of time, so free trips are not given a value Requires assumptions about primary and secondary destinations/purposes

P x Q: value of recreational services provided by woodland
£0.52 x 557
£291 million p.a.





### Example: valuing air pollutant removal



#### Physical service flow

- Uses sophisticated atmospheric chemistry model
- This accounts for transport and deposition of pollutants, variations in meteorology and summer/winter leaf cover, and interactions within the atmosphere and between different pollutants
- The role of vegetation (natural capital) is assessed by modelling two scenarios for pollutant concentrations: one with and one without vegetation
- For PM<sub>2.5</sub>, the average population weighted concentration (for an English municipal area) was



- 4.85 ug/m3 with vegetation
- 5.75 ug/m3 without vegetation

#### Valuing the flow

- This service provides a health benefit through the reduced exposure of the receiving population
- Can use official air quality impact pathway guidance e.g. for  $PM_{2.5}$ , an established health-response function of 0.0011 ug/m3
- Value can be based on reduced respiratory hospital admissions from an established baseline
  - Baseline hospital admissions (for an English municipal area) 1,551
    - Health-response function 0.0011

Value per hospital admission £6,650

# Value of air pollutants removed by vegetation (for English municipal area) = (6,550 x 0.0011 x 1,551) x (5.75-4.85) = £10,211

NB vegetation outside the area is responsible for reducing concentrations within the



Box 23.3 The value of a tree: ecosystem services in UK woodland

### UK

The UK Office for National Statistics (ONS Woodlands, 2015) studied the values of UK woodland ecosystems. The study considered three ecosystem services (timber production, carbon sequestration and recreation), calculating monetary flows for them. The results are presented in the graph below.

Similar work carried out in Germany, Spain and by EU-funded research projects indicate similar ET approach



Chapter 23. Developing Pilot Ecosystem Accounts in the European Union: **Potential Policy Applications** 



Laure Ledoux and Jakub Wejchert Biodiversity Unit, DG Env.

#### **Water Purification accounting**



La Notte, Maes, Dalmazzone, Crossman, Grizzetti, Bidoglio (2017) 'Physical and monetary ecosystem service accounts for Europe: A case study for in-stream nitrogen retention', Ecosystem Services, 23, pp 18–29

La Notte, Dalmazzone (2018) 'Sustainability assessment and causality nexus through ecosystem service accounting: The case of water purification in Europe', Journal of Environmental Management, 223, 964-974

#### **Graphical simplification of the procedure**







#### **Graphical simplification of the procedure**



#### **Graphical simplification of the procedure**



#### Water purification potential and actual flows





#### ES potential and actual flows for water purification

#### Potential flow

#### Actual flow



Considering a threshold of 1mg/l



### Acknowledgements

These materials have been developed in partnership with various organizations including the United Nations Statistics Division, UN Environment, the Convention on Biological Diversity, supported by the Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs, and the European Union.





